1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

v.

l	Amanda M. Perach, Esq. (NSBN 12399)
l	Kiley A. Harrison, Esq. (NSBN 16092)
l	McDONALD CARAÑO LLP
l	2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200
l	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
l	Telephone: (702) 873-4100
l	aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com
l	kharrison@mcdonaldcarano.com
l	
l	Attorneys for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

VICKI WILL, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Convergent Outsourcing, Inc.

CONVERGENT OUTSOURCING, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:22-cv-02081-MMD-EJY

STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S **COMPLAINT**

(FIRST REQUEST)

Pursuant to Local Rules IA 6-1 and 7-1, Plaintiff Vicki Will ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Convergent Outsourcing, Inc. ("Defendant") (collectively, the "Parties"), hereby stipulate, agree, and respectfully request that the Court extend the deadline for Defendant to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint until the later of either February 8, 2023, or thirty (30) days after the filing of a Consolidated Amended Complaint in the Western District of Washington. Alternatively, in the event that the currently pending Joint Motion to Transfer Venue (the "Joint Motion to Transfer") is not granted, the Parties request that the deadline for Defendant to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint be extended until thirty (30) days after denial of that Joint Motion to Transfer. In support of this Stipulation, the Parties jointly state as follows:

1. On November 15, 2022, Plaintiff filed this action in the Eighth Judicial District Court of Clark County, Nevada, Case No. A-22-861272-C, asserting claims related to Defendant's alleged failure to secure Plaintiff's and putative class members' personally identifiable information, resulting in a data security incident.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

^	0 D 1 1	(0000 D C 1	t removed this action to	11 0	(ECENT 1)
,	I in December 1	h //// Lletendan	t removed this action to	thic ('Allet	
∠.	On December 19	0, 2022, Defendan	i icinovca uns action te	uns Court.	(LCI NO. 1)

- 3. Defendant's response to the Complaint is due on December 23, 2022.
- 4. Together, Defendant and Plaintiff moved on December 16, 2022 to transfer this action to the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, where multiple class actions arising from the same alleged incident were recently consolidated under the caption Guy v. Convergent Outsourcing, Inc., No. C22-1558 MJP (W.D. Wash.), and where a Consolidated Amended Complaint will soon be filed.
- 5. An extension of Defendant's deadline to respond to the Complaint until the later of either February 8, 2023, or thirty (30) days after the filing of a Consolidated Amended Complaint in the Western District of Washington will permit sufficient time to work through various transfer and consolidation issues related to the pending actions. Also, in the event the Joint Motion to Transfer is denied, an extension of thirty (30) days after such denial will allow the Parties time to address issues related to the unconsolidated actions prior to Defendant responding to the Complaint.
- 6. This is Defendant's first request for an extension of time to respond to the Complaint, the request is not for the purposes of delay, and the requested extension will not prejudice any party.

/// ///

///

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

Case 2:22-cv-01813-RAJ Document 8 Filed 12/19/22 Page 3 of 3



7. WHEREFORE, the l	Parties hereby stipulate, agree, and respectfully request that the					
Court extend the deadline for Defendant to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complain						
until the later of either February 8, 2023, or thirty (30) days after the filing of a Consolidated						
Amended Complaint if the Joint Motion to Transfer is granted. Alternatively, in the event that the						
currently pending Joint Motion to Transfer is not granted, the Parties request that the deadline for						
Defendant to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint be extended until thirty (30)						
days after denial of that Joint Motion to Transfer.						
DATED this 19th day of December	, 2022.					
KIND LAW	McDONALD CARANO LLP					
By: /s/ Michael Kind	By: /s/ Amanda M. Perach					

By: /s/ Michael Kind
Michael Kind, Esq. (NSBN 13903)
8860 South Maryland Parkway, Suite 106
Las Vegas, Nevada 89123
mk@mkindlaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff Vicki Will

Amanda M. Perach, Esq. (NSBN 12399) Kiley A. Harrison, Esq. (NSBN 16092) 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com kharrison@mcdonaldcarano.com

Attorneys for Defendant Convergent Outsourcing, Inc.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

UNITED/STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: December 19, 2022